copyright infringement pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a).

- 3. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because Defendants conduct business and reside within the State of California Defendants' acts of infringement complained of herein occurred in the State of California, and Defendants caused injury to Plaintiff within the State of California.
- 4. Venue in this judicial district is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c) and 1400(a) in that this is the judicial district in which a substantial part of the acts and omissions giving rise to the claims occurred. Alternatively, venue is also proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b) because the Defendants reside and have a regular and established place of business in this judicial district.

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff Masterfile Corporation ("Masterfile" or "Plaintiff") is a professional media company.
- 6. Defendant Novus Mindful Life Institute Family Counseling And Recovery, A.P.C. ("Defendant Novus") is a California corporation with a place of business at 6695 East Pacific Coast Highway, #135, Long Beach, CA 90803.
- 7. Plaintiff is unaware of the true names and capacities of the Defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, and for that reason, sues such Defendants under such fictitious names. Plaintiff is informed and believes and on that basis alleges that such fictitiously named Defendants are responsible in some manner for the occurrences herein alleged, and that Plaintiff's damages as

herein alleged were proximately caused by the conduct of said Defendants. Plaintiff will seek to amend the complaint when the names and capacities of such fictitiously named Defendants are ascertained. As alleged herein, "Defendant" shall mean all named Defendants and all fictitiously named Defendants.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 8. Plaintiff Masterfile is a photography agency that specializes in licensing images for commercial use. Masterfile's collection features millions of premium Rights-Managed and Royalty-Free photos and illustrations by world-class artists covering a wide range of subjects. Masterfile distributes and licenses images for commercial use in media ranging from editorial publications to print advertising to websites.
- 9. Masterfile is the author the rights holder to an image of a couple (the "Image"). Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of the Image.
- 10. Masterfile registered the Image with the United States Copyright Office, registration number VA 1-394-757.
- 11. Defendant Novus is commercial business offering couples counseling and therapy. Defendant Novus advertises its business through its website www.novusmindfullife.com ("Defendant's Website").
- 12. Plaintiff's licensing compliance agent made several efforts to contact

 Defendants in effort to resolve the matter. Defendants ignored all contact
 attempts.

- 13. Plaintiff's attorney made several efforts to contact Defendants in effort to resolve the matter. Defendants ignored all contact attempts.
- 14. On information and belief, Defendant Novus has the right and ability to supervise and control the content that appears on Defendant's Website.
- 15. On or about August 24, 2018, Defendant posted a page on Defendant's Website titled "The Consequences After Discovery Of Infidelity" prominently featuring use of the Image.
- 16. Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of Defendant's infringing use of the Image on Defendant's Website.
- 17. Masterfile had no record of issuing a license or otherwise authorizing Defendant to use the Image on Defendant's Website.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT 17 U.S.C. § 101 et seq.

- 18. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 19. Plaintiff did not consent to, authorize, permit, or allow in any manner the distribution of the Image by Defendant.
- 20. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the Defendant willfully infringed upon Plaintiff's copyrighted Image in violation of Title 17 of the U.S. Code, because, *inter alia*, Defendant knew it did not have the right to use Image on Defendant's Website.

- 21. As a result of Defendant's violations of Title 17 of the U.S. Code, Plaintiff is entitled to any actual damages and disgorgement of profits pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §504(b), or statutory damages in an amount up to \$150,000.00 per infringement pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c).
- 22. As a result of the Defendant's violations of Title 17 of the U.S. Code, the court in its discretion may allow the recovery of full costs as well as reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 17 U.S.C § 505 from Defendants.
- 23. Plaintiff is also entitled to injunctive relief to prevent or restrain infringement of its copyright pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 502.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendant as follows:

- For an order pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 502(a) enjoining Defendants from any further infringing use of any of Plaintiff's Images;
- For an award of actual damages and disgorgement of all of Defendant's profits attributable to the infringement as provided by 17 U.S.C. § 504 in an amount to be proven or, in the alternative, at Plaintiff's election, an award for statutory damages against Defendants in an amount up to \$150,000.00 for each infringement pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c), whichever is larger;
- For costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees against Defendant pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505;
- For an award of pre- and post-judgment interest; and
- For any other relief the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: February 22, 2019 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Mathew K. Higbee

6

Case 2:19-cv-01343-SVW-FFM Document 1 Filed 02/22/19 Page 6 of 6 Page ID #:6